



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,509		03/20/2001	John W. Garrett	2000-0184A	1810
26652	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
AT&T CO			BHATIA, AJAY M		
P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2145	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/812,509	GARRETT ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAIL INO DATE of this assessment of the	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145						
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	anuary 2005.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar alastian rancinament							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D							
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/21/05</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	atom rippinoution (i 10-102)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/812,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perlman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,500,860).
- 3. For claim 1, Perlman teaches, a method of operating a router in an access network infrastructure connected to a plurality of service networks, comprising the steps of:

receiving an incoming packet with a source address;

decapsulating the packet if the packet is encapsulated;

comparing the source address of the incoming packet to network addresses allocated to subscribers of services provided by service networks interfaced to the router and service networks not interfaced with the router;

Art Unit: 2145

if the source address matches a network address allocated to subscribers of services provided by a first service network interfaced to the router, forwarding the packet to a router in the first service network; and

if the source address matches a network address allocated to subscribers of services provided by a second service network not interfaced to the router, optionally encapsulate the packet and tunnel the packet to a router interfaced with the second service network if there is no direct connection to the router. (tunnel interpreted as mechanism the provides packets a direct route between two points in the network, and source address is interpreted as any information that defines from what address, workstation, node, etc.. the packet was sent from (i.e. mac address, ip address, port address, source id, etc...), additionally packets are only de-encapsulated if they were encapsulated this feature is inherent to any IP network, since they would not receive encapsulated packets unless they are implementing a form of encapsulating) (see Perlman, abstract, Col. 3 lines 57-65, Col. 4 lines 31-40)

- 4. For claim 2, Perlman teaches, the invention of claim 1 wherein the service networks utilize the Internet Protocol and wherein the addresses are Internet Protocol addresses. (see Perlman, Col. 6 lines 1-24)
- 5. For claim 4, Perlman teaches, the invention of claim 2 wherein the plurality of service networks offer access to different Internet Protocol-based services. (see Perlman, Col. 6 lines 1-24)

Application/Control Number: 09/812,509 Page 4

Art Unit: 2145

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,500,860).
- 8. For claim 3, Perlman fails to clearly teaches, the invention of claim 2 wherein the plurality of service networks are operated by different Internet Service Providers.
- 9. It would have been obvious of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to teach, the invention of claim 2 wherein the plurality of service networks are operated by different Internet Service Providers.
- 10. It would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the system of Perlman and the obvious operation of networks by ISP because ISP operate large networks that efficient and congestion preventing systems. (see Perlman, Col. 1 lines 12-20 and 31-40)

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached UPSTO 892.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay M Bhatia whose telephone number is (571)-272-3906. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/812,509

Art Unit: 2145

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia M Wallace can be reached on (571)-272-6159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

ZARNI MAUNG SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER